			HOPES - HFI Service Report FY 2009	
			Program Name:LSI Blackhawk	
		So	ction A, 1-10 Includes totals for the families ENROLLED during FY09	
Λ F\	/00 Enrol	Iment Tota		Comments
М. І		Percentage		Comments
1	480	reicentage	Births/newborn screened for risk factors	
2	422	88%	High risk families with newborn identified & eligible for services	
<u>-</u> 3	167	40%	Of identified high risk with newborn offered HOPES-HFI	
, 1	66	4076	Target children enrolled	
5	75	>	Families enrolled	
) }	48	64%	Families enrolled prenatal	
7	9	04 /6	Enrolled prenatal, not delivered before July 1, 2009	
3	13	17%	Families enrolled mother is < age 19 yr	
3 9	64	85%	Families enrolled mother 1s < age 19 yr Families enrolled, mother 1st time parent	
	72	96%		
10	12	96%	Families enrolled, single parent family FY09 CASELOAD	
D [V00 Case	eload Tota		
Б. І		Percentage		
l	896	reicentage	Unduplicated families served at project site since HOPES-HFI began	
2	42	>	Carryover families from FY08	
<u>-</u> 3	117	>	Families Families Toll 1 100	
, 1	108	>	Target children	
5	15	13%	Mothers age 18-19 yr when enrolled	
) a.	5	4%	Age 15-17	
a. D.	2	2%	Age < 14	
). }	48	41%	Families on caseload who were enrolled prenatal	
7	64	55%	Families on caseload who were enrolled prenatal	
•	72	62%		
3	52	44%	Families on caseload, single parent family Families, parent is Caucasian	
) 10	52	50%	Families, parent is Caucasian Families, parent is African American	
	58			
11 12		4%	Families, parent is Hispanic	
	1	1%	Families, parent Asian/Oriental	
13	1 100	1%	Families, parent Native American	
4 • •	100	land Diel	Additional children ≤ age 18 yr residing in the family home	
). I (actors Assessed Initial Few Home Visits Following Enrollment	
		Percentage		
<u> </u>	45	38%	Primary wage earner is unemployed	
2	72	62%	Inadequate income	
3	36	31%	Inadequate or unstable housing	

4	10	9%	No Phone					
5	37	32%	Unreliable transportation					
6	22	19%	Social isolation - limited emergency or family contacts					
7	47	40%	Parents formal education less than high school/ GED					
8	9	8%	Parental history of special education or learning disabilities					
9	2	2%	Current substance abuse of either parent					
10	9	8%	History of substance abuse of either parent					
11	38	32%	Marital or family problems					
12	20	17%	History or current mental illness/depression/psychiatric care					
13	4	3%	History or current family domestic violence					
14	25	21%	Fear or inadequate feelings about parenting					
15	2	2%	Indifferent, intolerant interaction with infant					
16	0	0%	istory induced/attempted abortion this pregnancy					
17	1	1%	History of/or removal older children to foster care					
18	0	0%	Termination of parental rights to older children					
19	117	100%	Families with 3 or more risk factors					

D. FY	09 Exits								
		Percentage							
1	41		Families exited program						
2	11	27%	Exits with family goals met						
3	10	24%	Exits family goals partially met						
4	20	49%	Exits family goals not met						
5	10	>	Times families moved/changed residences						
6	8	20%	Exits due to family moved out of service area						
E. FY	09 Reten	ntion Rate							
		Percentage							
1	13	\	Average months, including prenatal, families participated prior to exit						
2	18	15%	Families exit before target child is 6 mo of age						
F. FY	09 Health	h							
Preg	nancy and	d Birth Infor	mation						
		Percentage							
1	9	8%	Target children born at VLBW & LBW						
2	96	82%	Women received initial prenatal care 1st trimester						
3	0	0%	Women received no prenatal care						
4	28	24%	Women received ≥ 5 prenatal care exams/appointments						
5	62	53%	Families use family planning or a method of birth control						
G. He	ealth Care	e Coverage	(HCC) for Parents						
		Percentage							
1	105	90%	Families parent(s) have health care coverage.						
Н. Не	alth Care	e Coverage	e (Insurance) for Target Children						
		Percentage							
1	98	91%	Medicaid						
2	0	0%	Hawk-I						
3	3	3%	Medicare (SSI or other)						
4	7	6%	Private Insurance						
5	0	0%	No health care coverage as of June 30, 2009						
I. Hea		for Target							
	Number	Percentage							
1	95	88%	Medical Home: Established for target children and accessing						
2	89	82%	Farget children with received ALL preventive health services for age according to the EPSDT se						
$\supset \!$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	(except Dental/Oral Health or Lead Screen)						
3	22	$>\!\!<$	Target children ≥ age 2 yr						
4	20	91%	Target children with immunizations according to recommended schedule by age 2						
5	1	5%	arget children with legitimate immunization exemption						
6	52	$>\!\!<$	Target children ≥ 12 months						
7	45	87%	Lead Poisoning: Number target children ≥ 12 mo. screened for Blood Lead Level (BLL)						
			3						

8	0	0%	Target children with an elevated BLL screen						
9	0	#DIV/0!	Target children received or are receiving intervention for elevated BLL						
10	0	#DIV/0!	Target children with BLL resolved to normal by end of FY07						
11	97	90%	Developmental Screening: Number of target children assessed for developmental						
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	delay per age						
12	11	11%	Target children with suspected/potential development delay identified						
13	11	100%	Target children with suspected/potential development delay referred to Early ACCESS						
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	identified						
14	5	45%	Target children with suspected/potential development delay who participated in Early						
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	ACCESS following referral						
15	31	60%	Dental Home: Target children ≥ 12mo accessed Dental provider or I-Smile services						
16									
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$							
17	37	77%	Pregnant women who had ≥ 1 dental exam/screening prenatal						
			FY09 Social, Environmental, Emotional and Community						
J. Fat	J. Father (FOB) Target Child Involvement								
	Number	Percentage	e						
1	62	53%	Families, Father of the target child (FOB) involved						
2	24	21%	Families male involved in "fatherhood role" other than FOB						

K. Cł	nild Abus	e/Neglect								
	Number Percentage									
1	2	2%	Families on caseload with confirmed child abuse/neglect prior to FY09							
-	For TAR	GET CHILI								
		Percentage								
3	4	4%	Confirmed abuse/neglect of target child							
4	1	1%	Target children placed in foster home							
5	0	\sim	Confirmed abuses of target child following report by HOPES-HFI							
6	3	75%	Families continued HOPES-HFI participation following confirmed abuse of target child							
L. Ch	nild Care	for Target								
		Percentage								
1	7	6%	In non-registered home							
2	8	7%	In a registered home							
3	22	20%	With relative/friend							
4	0	0%	In a non-licensed childcare center							
5	15	14%	In a licensed childcare center							
6	4	4%	Accepted and accessed Child Care Resource & Referral							
7	25	23%	Received DHS child care assistance							
M. Pr	re-School	for Target	Child							
	Number	Percentage								
1	0	0%	Target children ≥ age 3 yr							
2	0		Target children age ≥ 3 yr enrolled in a pre-school or Head Start							
N. Fa			nmunity Services							
	Number	Percentage								
1	5	4%	Accessed domestic violence/abuse services							
2	0	1%	Accessed training ands voc-ed services (disability, technical training							
3	3	3%	Accessed employment services (workforce development, unemployment							
4	32	27%	Accessed financial/budget assistance							
5	65	56%	Accessed housing support (rent assistance, heat assistance, HUD)							
6	20	17%	Accessed mental health services for parent							
7	1	1%	Accessed mental health services for target child							
8	3	3%	Accessed substance abuse treatment services							
9	60	51%	Accessed a public "closet" of infant/toddler supplies							
10	49	42%	Enrolled in family Investment Program (FIP)							
11	49	42%	Enrolled in Promise Jobs (PJ)							
12	25	21%	Accessed further education (GED, Comm. College, 2nd language							
13	35	30%	Participated in parenting education class/group							
14	10	9%	Used public/other transportation (bus, taxi, volunteers)							
15	23		Accessed a community resource center							
16	12	10%	Accessed a library for children's books							
			5							

17	1	1%	Accessed of	risis child c	are					
18	10	$>\!\!<$	Total hours of crisis child care used			by families				
O. Nu	. Nutrition									
	Number	Percentage	Э							
1	107	91%	Families en	rolled in WI	С					
2	25	21%	Mothers bre	eastfeeding	target child u	pon discharg	e from hosp	tal		
3	9	36%	Mothers co	ntinued brea	astfeeding tar	rget child age	<u>≥</u> 6 mo			
4	73	62%	Families received DHS food assistance (food stamps)							
5	60		Families enrolled in Food and Nutrition Program (FNP)							
6	30	26%	Families ac	cessed foo	d pantries/cor	mmodity food	s			

P. Fa	mily Problem Asse	ssment & Progress					
		initial assessment during first few ho	ome visits, <u>me</u>	asure only fo	r families with	a target	
child	who is age ≥ 6 mo.						
	Number Percentag	e					
1	30 26% Families with age of target child age ≥ 6 mo.						
	# Families Problem Identified	Problem Identified	# Resolved	# Improved	# Not Improved		
	18	Living Situation	6	5	7		
	10	Social Isolation	5	5	0		
	21	Transportation	6	10	5		
	2	Home Safety	1	1	0		
	30	Financial Situation	2	10	18		
	22	HOH Employment	5	10	7		
	0	Access to Health Care	0	0	0		
	1	Substance Abuse	1	0	0		
	19	Education	3	15	1		
	2	MH/Emotional Status	0	2	0		
	1	Domestic Violence	0	1	0		
	2	Parenting Skills/Discipline	0	2	0		
	0	Personal Hygiene	0	0	0		
	6	Age Appropriate Activities	2	4	0		
	3	Appropriate Child Care	1	2	0		
	2	Care of Infant	0	2	0		
	17	Child Growth & Development	2	15	0		
	10	Parent-Child Interaction	3	5	2		
Q. HO	OPES-HFI Services						
	Number Percentag						
1	1220	Home visits to provide HOPES-HFI		SW/PM/ Sup	ervisor		
2	30 2%	Joint home visits with FSW by PM/S					
3	157 11%	Attempted or not home, not found (
4	358	Units of direct transportation provide	ed (1 round tri	p or 1 way tri	p = 1unit)		
R. Ed		Depression Screen				1	
1	102	Number of Clients screened (Pre a	Jnduplicated -				
2	186	Total number of EPDS done	1				
\geq	1.82	Avg # of EPDS per client (do not n					
3	16 9%	Number of screens positive for pos	sible depressi	on			
4	42 263%	Number of referrals made					made numerous referrals on
a.	5 12%	To Physician/PCP					many of the families
b.	16 38%	To MH provider		7			

C.	21	50%	To other							
5	10	24%	Number of clients who	lumber of clients who accessed a provider following referral						
6	7	70%	Number of clients who	umber of clients who received intervention/trmt after accessed provider						
7	8	50%	Number of clients with	Number of clients with positive screen already under the care of a provider or receiving						
> <	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	treatment for depression	on						